
JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

VOLUME 154, FEBRUARY 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Editors' Choice

- A1 Flexible approaches to clinical trials
Andrea C. Tricco and David Tovey

Commentary

- 208 A call for error management in academic clinical research
Stuart McLennan and Matthias Briel

Controversy and Debate: Challenges with Need to Improve the Reference Standard in Diagnosis

- 204 Controversy and debate: challenges with need to improve the reference standard in diagnosis paper 1: two challenges: absence of a clear cut, easily replicable test for the reference standard; unethical/infeasible inclusion of an invasive procedure in the reference standard
Martin H. Reed
- 206 Challenges with need to improve the reference standard in diagnosis: paper 2: real-world case examples from GRADE for when a reference standard is not clearly defined/easily replicable or when it is infeasible/unethical to perform the reference standard
Reem A. Mustafa and Holger J. Schünemann
- 216 Challenges with need to improve the reference standard in diagnosis: paper 3: response to mustafa and schunemann
Martin H. Reed
- 218 Challenges with need to improve the reference standard in diagnosis paper 4: response to reed
Reem A. Mustafa and Holger J. Schünemann

Covid-19 Series

- 75 Minimal reporting improvement after peer review in reports of COVID-19 prediction models: systematic review
Mohammed T. Hudda, Lucinda Archer, Maarten van Smeden, Karel G.M. Moons, Gary S. Collins, Ewout W. Steyerberg, Charlotte Wablich, Johannes B. Reitsma, Richard D. Riley, Ben Van Calster, and Laure Wynants
- 212 The Global Evidence Commission's report provided a wake-up call for the evidence community
Ruth Stewart, Isabelle Boutron, and Elie A. Akl

Continued on following page



0895-4356(202302)154:C;1-B

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

VOLUME 154, FEBRUARY 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS *continued*

Other GRADE Papers

- 125 Improving grading of recommendations assessment, development, and evaluation evidence tables part 4: a three-arm noninferiority randomized trial demonstrates improved understanding of content in summary of findings tables with a new format
Rebecca L. Morgan, Juan José Yepes-Nuñez, Joycelyne Ewusie, Lawrence Mbuagbaw, Stephanie Chang, Tejan Baldeb, Susanne Hempel, Mark Helfand, Paul Shekelle, Timothy J. Wilt, and Holger J. Schünemann
- 146 Decision criteria for selecting essential medicines and their connection to guidelines: an interpretive descriptive qualitative interview study
Thomas Piggott, Lorenzo Moja, Elie A. Akl, John N. Lavis, Graham Cooke, Tamara Kredo, Hans V. Hogerzeil, Benedikt Huttner, Pablo Alonso-Coello, and Holger Schünemann
- 197 The GIN-McMaster guideline tool extension for the integration of quality improvement and quality assurance in guidelines: a description of the methods for its development
Thomas Piggott, Miranda W. Langendam, Elena Parmelli, Jan Adolfsson, Elie A. Akl, David Armstrong, Jeffrey Braithwaite, Romina Brignardello-Petersen, Jan Brozek, Markus Follmann, Ina Kopp, Joerg J. Meerpohl, Luciana Neamtiu, Monika Notbacker, Amir Qaseem, Paolo Giorgi Rossi, Zuleika Saz-Parkinson, Philip J. van der Wees, and Holger J. Schünemann

Review Articles

- 8 Systematic review identifies the design and methodological conduct of studies on machine learning-based prediction models
Constanza L. Andaur Navarro, Johanna A.A. Damen, Maarten van Smeden, Toshibiko Takada, Steven W.J. Nijman, Paula Dhiman, Jie Ma, Gary S. Collins, Ram Bajpai, Richard D. Riley, Karel G.M. Moons, and Lotty Hoofst
- 42 Clinical trial data-sharing policies among journals, funding agencies, foundations, and other professional organizations: a scoping review
Austin L. Johnson, J. Michael Anderson, Max Bouwette, Israel Pinero, Shelby Raub, Bradley Johnson, Micah Kee, Benjamin Heigle, Andrea C. Tricco, Matthew J. Page, Patti McCall Wright, and Matt Vassar
- 108 A systematic scoping review of just-in-time, adaptive interventions finds limited automation and incomplete reporting
Theodora Oikonomidi, Philippe Ravaud, Jonathan LeBeau, and Viet-Thi Tran
- 156 A scoping review finds that guides to authors of protocols for observational epidemiological studies varied highly in format and content
Daniel Malmisø, Anders Frost, and Asbjørn Hróbjartsson

Continued on following page

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

VOLUME 154, FEBRUARY 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS *continued*

Original Articles

- 1 Most systematic reviews that used the term “update” in title/abstract were not an updated version
Renata Runjic, Antonia Jelacic Kadic, Edita Runjic, Krunoslava Gudej, Jakov Milić, Rafael Leite Pacheco, Tanja Rombey, Dawid Pieper, and Livia Puljak
- 23 Indicators of questionable research practices were identified in 163,129 randomized controlled trials
Jobanna A. Damen, Pauline Heus, Herm J. Lamberink, Joeri K. Tijdink, Lex Bouter, Paul Glasziou, David Moher, Willem M. Otte, Christiaan H. Vinkers, and Lotty Hooft
- 33 Complete case logistic regression with a dichotomised continuous outcome led to biased estimates
Rosaleen Peggy Cornish, Jonathan William Bartlett, John Macleod, and Kate Tilling
- 56 Recalibration of prediction model was needed for monitoring health care quality in subgroups: a retrospective cohort study
Hideki Endo, Shigehiko Uchino, Satoru Hashimoto, Nao Ichihara, and Hiroaki Miyata
- 65 Imputing missing laboratory results may return erroneous values because they are not missing at random
Carl van Walraven, Christopher McCudden, and Peter C. Austin
- 85 Reporting quality was suboptimal in a systematic review of randomized controlled trials with adaptive designs
Sujata Purja, Sunuk Park, SuA Oh, Minji Kim, and EunYoung Kim
- 97 A multicenter prospective study validated a nomogram to predict individual risk of dependence in ambulation after rehabilitation
Bruno Bernardini, Luigi Baratto, Costanza Pizzi, Annibale Biggeri, Giovanna Cerina, Viviana Colantonio, Carla Corsini, Sara Gbirmai, Marco Pagani, Stefania Fracchia, Marisa Gardella, Dolores Catelan, Maria Luisa Malosio, and Elisa Malagamba
- 117 Distributions of baseline categorical variables were different from the expected distributions in randomized trials with integrity concerns
Mark J. Bolland, Greg D. Gamble, Alison Avenell, David J. Cooper, and Andrew Grey
- 136 No short-term mortality from benzodiazepine use post-acute ischemic stroke after accounting for bias
Lidia M.V.R. Moura, Zhiyu Yan, Maria A. Donabue, Louisa H. Smith, Lee H. Schwamm, John Hsu, Joseph P. Newhouse, Sebastien Haneuse, Deborah Blacker, and Sonia Hernandez-Diaz
- 167 Reporting according to the preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses for abstracts (PRISMA-A) depends on abstract length
Jasmin Helbach, Falk Hoffmann, Dawid Pieper, and Katharina Allers
- 178 A rapid priority setting exercise combining existing, emergent evidence with stakeholder knowledge identified broad topic uncertainties
Nicole O'Connor, Katie H. Thomson, Sean Gill, Sara Jackson, Sheila A. Wallace, and Fiona Pearson

Continued on following page

JOURNAL OF CLINICAL EPIDEMIOLOGY

VOLUME 154, FEBRUARY 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS *continued*

- 188 Considering multiple outcomes with different weights informed the hierarchy of interventions in network meta-analysis
Dimitris Mavridis, Adriani Nikolakopoulou, Irimi Moustaki, Anna Chaimani, Raphaël Porcher, Isabelle Boutron, and Philippe Ravaud

Letters to the Editor

- 219 “Meta-epidemiological study” is a study in which the unit of analysis is a study, not a patient; response to Puljak et al.
Yuki Kataoka, Masabiro Banno, Yasushi Tsujimoto, and Toshi A. Furukawa
- 220 Challenges with defining a meta-epidemiological study as “study in which the unit of analysis is a study, not a patient”: author’s reply
Livia Puljak